

## ON SOME PROPERTIES OF CONNECTED FUNCTIONS

**Jacek Jędrzejewski**

*Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Jan Długosz University of Częstochowa  
al. Armii Krajowej 13/15, 42-200 Częstochowa, Poland  
e-mail: j.jedrzejewski@ajd.czyst.pl*

### **Abstract**

We consider some properties of functions defined in a topological space  $X$  with values in another topological space  $Y$ .

We shall consider some properties of functions defined in a topological space  $X$  with values in a topological space  $Y$ .

Topological terminology is taken from the books *General Topology* by R. Engelking [1] and *General Topology* by J. L. Kelley [7].

**Definition 1** *We say that a function  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  has the Darboux property, if the image of connected subset of  $X$  is connected.*

The set of all functions which have the Darboux property is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**Definition 2** *We say that a function  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  has the local Darboux property, if for each point  $x$  in  $X$  and every neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  there exists a connected neighbourhood  $V$  such that  $f(V)$  is a connected subset of  $Y$ .*

The set of all functions which have the local Darboux property is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}_l$ .

**Definition 3** We say that a function  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  is connected if its graph is a connected set in  $X \times Y$ .

The set of all functions which are connected is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 4** We shall say that a function  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  is strongly connected if  $f|E$  is a connected set for each connected subset  $E$  of  $X$ .

The set of all functions which are strongly connected is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_s$ .

**Definition 5** We shall say that a function  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  is locally strongly connected if for each  $x$  in  $X$  and its open neighbourhood  $U$  there exists an open and connected neighbourhood  $E$  of  $x$  such that  $f|E$  is a connected set in the space  $X \times Y$ .

The set of all functions which are strongly connected is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_{ls}$ .

By a subgraph of a function  $f : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we mean the set

$$\{(x, y) \in X \times \mathbb{R} : y < f(x)\},$$

which is denoted by  $f(-)$ .

By an overgraph of a function  $f : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we mean the set

$$\{(x, y) \in X \times \mathbb{R} : y > f(x)\},$$

which is denoted by  $f(+)$ .

We shall make no distinction between a function and its graph.

**Definition 6** We shall say that a function  $f : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  cuts continuum if

$$f \cap M \neq \emptyset$$

for each continuum  $M$  for which  $M \cap f(+) \neq \emptyset$  and  $M \cap f(-) \neq \emptyset$ .

Definitions 3, 4, 5 and 6 define the same class of functions when  $X$  and  $Y$  are equal to  $\mathbb{R}$  with natural topology.

Similarly, definitions 1 and 2 define the same class of functions when  $X$  and  $Y$  are equal to  $\mathbb{R}$  with natural topology.

In the article we shall discuss some properties of those classes and give some sufficient conditions for the space  $X$  in which real functions defined in  $X$  form the same class.

Immediately from the definitions the next properties follow:

**Property 1** *Each continuous function is strongly connected and has the Darboux property.*

**Property 2** *Each continuous function defined in a connected space is connected.*

**Property 3** *Each continuous function defined in a locally connected space is locally strongly connected and has the local Darboux property.*

**Theorem 1** *For every topological space  $X$  and  $Y$*

$$\mathcal{C}_s \subset \mathcal{D}.$$

**Theorem 2** *If a topological spaces  $X$  is connected and  $Y$  is an arbitrary topological space, then*

$$\mathcal{C}_s \subset \mathcal{C}.$$

This theorem can be completed to get sufficient condition for a space  $X$  to be connected.

**Theorem 3** *If a topological space  $Y$  has at least two elements and for topological space  $X$*

$$\mathcal{C}_s \subset \mathcal{C},$$

*then  $X$  is connected.*

**Theorem 4** *If a topological space  $X$  is locally connected and  $Y$  is an arbitrary topological space, then*

$$\mathcal{C}_s \subset \mathcal{C}_{ls}, \quad \mathcal{C}_s \subset \mathcal{D}_l, \quad \mathcal{C}_{ls} \subset \mathcal{D}_l, \quad \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}_l.$$

**Theorem 5** *If a topological spaces  $X$  is connected and locally connected and  $Y$  is an arbitrary topological space, then*

$$\mathcal{C}_{ls} \subset \mathcal{C}.$$

The proof of this theorem can be found in [6]. In the same article there are given examples of real functions defined in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  which show that all the considered classes are different.

In the further part of the article we shall discuss under which assumptions some of the considered classes coincide. A few of the properties

deal with continuity of functions from those classes; some of theorems involve the condition of D. Gillespie [2] (for real functions of real variable), which is sufficient but not necessary, and other use the condition of P. Long [8] (this condition is also necessary, however for injective functions only).

We say that the sets  $A$  and  $B$  are separated ([7]) if

$$\overline{A} \cap B = \emptyset = A \cap \overline{B}.$$

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces. By  $\mathcal{B}_x$  we shall denote the class of all open neighbourhoods of the point  $x$  from  $X$ .

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an arbitrary function. The set  $C(f, x)$  defined by (see [4])

$$C(f, x) = \bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{B}_x} f(U) \tag{1}$$

is called the *cluster set* of the function  $f$  at the point  $x$  from  $X$ .

Next lemma (in the version for real functions of real variable) is very useful in the theory of Darboux functions.

**Lemma 1** *Let  $X$  be a locally connected and dense in itself topological space and  $Y$  be a locally compact space (or  $Y = \mathbb{R}$ ). If a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  has the Darboux property and  $f = A \cup B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are nonempty and separated sets, then the set  $K$ , where  $K = \text{proj}_X A$ , is perfect and  $K = \text{proj}_X B$ . Moreover, the sets  $K \cap \text{proj}_X A$  and  $K \cap \text{proj}_X B$  are dense in  $K$ .*

Proof. For simplicity of denotations let us set:

$$A_1 = \text{proj}_X A, \quad B_1 = \text{proj}_X B.$$

Then

$$A_1 \cap B_1 = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 \cup B_1 = X.$$

Hence,  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  are complements for each other; they have the same boundary.

We shall show that the  $K$  is a perfect set. Since it is a closed set, then it is sufficient to prove that  $K$  is dense in itself. To prove this let

us assume that it is not true. Thus, there exist a point  $x_0$  in  $K$  and a connected neighbourhood  $U_0$  of  $x_0$  such that

$$(K \cap \{x_0\}) \cap U_0 = \emptyset.$$

For each  $x$  in  $U_0 \setminus \{x_0\}$  there exists a connected neighbourhood  $U_x$  such that

$$U_x \subset A_1 \quad \text{or} \quad U_x \subset B_1.$$

By definition of the sets  $A$  and  $B$  it follows that

$$f|_{U_x} \subset A \quad \text{or} \quad f|_{U_x} \subset B.$$

There are three possible cases:

1.  $f|_{U_x} \subset A$  for each  $x$  in  $U_0 \setminus \{x_0\}$ .
2.  $f|_{U_x} \subset B$  for each  $x$  in  $U_0 \setminus \{x_0\}$ .
3. There are  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in  $U_0 \setminus \{x_0\}$  such that  $f|_{U_{x_1}} \subset A$  and  $f|_{U_{x_2}} \subset B$ .

Ad. (1). Since  $x_0 \in \text{Fr}(A_1)$  and  $f|_{U_0 \setminus \{x_0\}} \subset A$ , then  $x_0 \in B$ . In view of properties of cluster sets of connected functions (see [5]), the set  $C(f, x)$  is connected. Then the connected set  $\{x_0\} \times C(f, x_0)$  has common points with both of the separated sets  $A$  and  $B$ . Contradiction.

Ad. (2). Similar arguments lead us to a contradiction in this case.

Ad. (3). Let us consider two possibilities:

- the set  $U \setminus \{x_0\}$  is connected,
- the set  $U \setminus \{x_0\}$  is not connected.

In the first case, the class of sets  $\{U_x : x \in U_0 \setminus \{x_0\}\}$  forms an open cover of the set  $U_0 \setminus \{x_0\}$ .

For every two points of the set  $U_0 \setminus \{x_0\}$  there exists a finite sequence  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  of points of the set  $U_0 \setminus \{x_0\}$  such that

$$\xi_1 = x_1, \quad \xi_n = x_2$$

and

$$U_{\xi_i} \cap U_{\xi_j} \neq \emptyset \iff |i - j| \leq 1$$

for each  $i$  and  $j$  from the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Thus,

$$f|_{U_{\xi_1}} \subset A \quad \text{and} \quad f|_{U_{\xi_1}} \cap f|_{U_{\xi_2}} \neq \emptyset.$$

Since  $f|_{U_{\xi_2}} \subset A$  or  $f|_{U_{\xi_2}} \subset B$ , then of course  $f|_{U_{\xi_2}} \subset A$ .

Continuing this process we can infer that  $f|_{U_{\xi_n}} \subset A$  which contradicts to relations  $\xi_n = x_2$  and  $x_n \in B_1$ .

Assume now that the set  $U_0 \setminus \{x_0\}$  is not connected. Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be two components of the set  $U_0 \setminus \{x_0\}$  containing points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , respectively.

Of course,  $V_1 = \bigcup_{x \in V_1} U_x$ .

We shall show that if  $x \in V_1$ , then  $f|_{U_x} \subset A$ .

The set  $V_1$  is connected, then for each  $\bar{x}$  from  $V_1$  there exists a finite sequence  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  of elements of  $V_1$  such that

$$\xi_1 = x, \quad \xi_n = \bar{x}$$

and

$$U_{\xi_i} \cap U_{\xi_j} \neq \emptyset \iff |i - j| \leq 1.$$

Repeating the arguments from the previous part of the proof, one can show that  $f|_{V_1} \subset A$ . Similarly, one can get the inclusion  $f|_{V_2} \subset B$ .

The sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are components of the set  $U_0 \setminus \{x_0\}$ , where  $X$  is a connected and locally connected space. Thus,  $V_1 \cup \{x_0\}$  and  $V_2 \cup \{x_0\}$  are connected and locally connected subspaces of  $X$ , hence in view of properties of cluster sets of Darboux functions

$$f(x_0) \in C(f|_{V_1 \cup \{x_0\}}, x_0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(x_0) \in C(f|_{V_2 \cup \{x_0\}}, x_0).$$

Independently, whether  $(x_0, f(x_0)) \in A$  or  $(x_0, f(x_0)) \in B$ , we obtain the contradiction with the fact that the sets  $A$  and  $B$  are separated.

In all the cases we have come to contradiction, hence our assumption that the set  $K$  is not dense in itself is false.

Let us assume now that the set  $K \cap A_1$  is not dense in  $K$ .

Then there exists a point  $x_0$  in  $K$  and a connected open neighbourhood  $U_0$  of the point  $x_0$  such that

$$(U_0 \cap K \cap A_1) \setminus \{x_0\} = \emptyset.$$

It follows that

$$f|_{U_0 \cup \{x_0\}} \subset B.$$

If  $(x_0, f(x_0)) \in A$ , then  $x_0$  is an isolated point of the set  $K$ , what is impossible because of the set  $K$  is dense in itself.

If  $(x_0, f(x_0)) \in B$ , then  $f|_{U_0} \subset B$ , hence  $x_0 \notin K$ , what is also impossible.

In each case, the obtained contradiction proves that the set  $K \cap A_1$  is dense in  $K$ .

Similarly, one can prove that the set  $K \cap B_1$  is dense in  $K$ . □

Applying lemma 1 one can prove the next theorem:

**Theorem 6** *If  $X$  is a locally connected metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  if a Darboux function of the I class of Baire, then  $f$  is locally strongly connected.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists an open and connected set  $U$  such that the graph of the function  $f|_U$  is not connected.

Then there are separated sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $f|_U = A \cup B$ . Let

$$A_1 = \text{proj}_X A, \quad B_1 = \text{proj}_X B \quad \text{and} \quad K = \text{Fr}(A_1).$$

In view of lemma 1,

$$K = \text{Fr}(B),$$

$K$  is a perfect set and  $K \cap A_1, K \cap B_1$  are dense in  $K$ . Since  $f$  is of the first class of Baire, then there exists a point of (relative) continuity of the function  $f|_K$ . Let  $x_0$  be that point. There exist sequences  $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $(b_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  such that

$$a_n \in A_1 \cap K, \quad b_n \in B_1 \cap K, \quad a_n \rightarrow x_0, \quad b_n \rightarrow x_0$$

and

$$f(a_n) \rightarrow f(x_0), \quad f(b_n) \rightarrow f(x_0).$$

It follows that

$$(x_0, f(x_0)) \in \overline{A} \cap \overline{B},$$

and since  $(x_0, f(x_0))$  belongs to one of the sets  $A$  or  $B$ , then we get a contradiction with the fact that the sets  $A$  and  $B$  are separated.  $\square$

We can get the next property as an immediate corollary of the previous theorem.

**Corollary 1** *If  $X$  is a connected and locally connected metric space and a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Darboux function of the I class of Baire, then  $f$  is connected.*

In the further part of the article we shall consider some sufficient conditions for “connected” functions to be continuous.

**Theorem 7** *If  $X$  is a locally connected topological space, a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has the Darboux property and*

$$\text{Int}(\{y \in \mathbb{R} : \text{card}(f^{-1}(y)) \geq \aleph_0\}) = \emptyset, \quad (2)$$

*then  $f$  is a continuous function.*

Proof. Let us assume that  $f$  has the Darboux property and is discontinuous at some point  $x_0$  from  $X$ . Then there are real numbers  $a$  and  $b$  such that

$$a < b, \quad a \in C(f, x_0), \quad b \in C(f, x_0).$$

In view of properties of cluster sets and inverse cluster sets of Darboux functions (see [5]),

$$(a, b) \subset \bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{B}_{x_0}} f(U),$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_{x_0}$  is a base of the space  $X$  at the point  $x_0$  consisting of connected sets. Then for each point  $y$  from  $(a, b)$  and neighbourhood  $U$  from  $\mathcal{B}_{x_0}$  there are points  $x_{U,y}$  in  $U$  such that

$$y = f(x_{U,y}).$$

Since the set of all such points  $x_{U,y}$  is infinite, then

$$(a, b) \subset \{y \in \mathbb{R} : \text{card}(f^{-1}(y)) \geq \aleph_0\},$$

which is impossible.  $\square$

Since in a locally connected topological space each real strongly connected function has the Darboux property, then:



**Corollary 2** *If  $X$  is a locally connected topological space, a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is strongly connected and fulfils condition (2), then  $f$  is a continuous function.*

Condition (2) has been introduced by D. Gillespie [2], however it is not necessary. See at the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as follows:

$$f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 \quad \text{if} \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Much more complicated example shows also that condition of Gillespie is not necessary even for real functions of (one) real variable.

We say that a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is closed if the image of any closed set in  $X$  is closed in  $Y$ .

**Theorem 8** *Let  $X$  be a locally connected topological space. If a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is closed and has the local Darboux property, then it is continuous.*

*Proof.* Let us assume that the function  $f$  is not continuous. There exists a point  $x_0$  in  $X$  such that  $C(f, x) \neq \{f(x_0)\}$ .

Let  $y_0$  be a point from the set  $C(f, x_0)$  which is different from  $f(x_0)$ . Suppose that  $y_0 < f(x_0)$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_{x_0}$  be a local base of the space  $X$  at the point  $x_0$  which is consisted of connected sets. Let further  $\Sigma = \mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{B}_{x_0}$ . Consider the following relation in the set  $\Sigma$ :

$$(n_1, U_1) \prec (n_2, U_2) \iff (n_1 \leq n_2 \wedge U_2 \subset U_1).$$

It is obvious that  $(\Sigma, \prec)$  is a directed set.

Since  $y_0 \in C(f, x_0)$  and  $y_0 < f(x_0)$ , then for each pair  $(n, U)$  from  $\Sigma$  there exists a point  $x'_{(n,U)}$  such that

$$x'_{(n,U)} \in U \quad \text{and} \quad f(x'_{(n,U)}) < y_0 + \frac{1}{n}.$$

If  $n \geq n_0$ , where

$$n_0 = \min \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : y_0 + \frac{1}{k} < f(x_0) \right\},$$

then

$$f(x'_{(n,U)}) < y_0 + \frac{1}{n} < f(x_0).$$

Since  $f$  has the local Darboux property and sets from the local base  $\mathcal{B}_{x_0}$  are connected, then for each element  $(n, U)$  from the set  $\Sigma$  there exists a point  $x_{(n,U)}$  such that

$$x_{(n,U)} \in U \quad \text{and} \quad f(x_{(n,U)}) = y_0 + \frac{1}{n}.$$

In that way we have constructed a net (Moore-Smith sequence)  $\{x_{(n,U)}\}_{(n,U) \in \Sigma}$  which is convergent to  $x_0$ . Hence the set  $A$ , where

$$A = \{x_{(n,U)} : (n, U) \in \Sigma\},$$

is closed, but its image  $f(A)$  is not closed.

Contradiction with assumptions on  $f$  completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3** *Let  $X$  be a locally connected topological space. If a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is closed and strongly connected, then it is a continuous function.*

**Corollary 4** *Let  $X$  be a locally connected topological space. If a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is closed and locally strongly connected, then it is a continuous function.*

**Corollary 5** *Let  $X$  be a locally connected topological space. If a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is closed and has the Darboux property, then it is a continuous function.*

The set  $T(f, y)$  is defined by

$$T(f, y) = \{x \in X : y \in C(f, x)\} \quad \text{if } y \in Y \quad (3)$$

and is called an *inverse cluster set* of  $f$  at the point  $y$  from  $Y$ . See [3].

**Theorem 9** *Let  $X$  be a locally connected topological space and  $Y$  be a locally compact topological space. If a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  has the Darboux property,  $f(X)$  is a closed subset of  $Y$  and*

$$T(f, f(x)) = \{x\} \quad \text{if } x \in X, \quad (4)$$

*then  $f$  is a continuous function.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that the function  $f$  is not continuous at some point  $x_0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}_{x_0}$  be a local base of the space  $X$  at the point  $x_0$  which is consisted of connected sets. There exists an open neighbourhood  $V$  of the point  $f(x_0)$  such that for each set  $U$  in  $\mathcal{B}_{x_0}$  the set  $f(U)$  is not contained in  $V$ . Since  $Y$  is locally compact, we can assume that the set  $\overline{V}$  is compact.

For each  $U$  from  $\mathcal{B}_{x_0}$  there exists a point  $x'_U$  such that

$$x'_U \in U \quad \text{and} \quad f(x'_U) \notin V.$$

Since  $f(U)$  is a connected subset of  $Y$ ,  $f(x'_U) \notin V$  and  $f(x_0) \in V$ , then there exists a point  $x_U$  such that

$$x_U \in U \quad \text{and} \quad f(x_U) \in \text{Fr}(V).$$

The class  $\mathcal{B}_{x_0}$  is directed by the relation  $\supset$ , hence  $\{x_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{B}_{x_0}}$  is a net convergent to  $x_0$ .

Then  $\{f(x_U)\}_{U \in \mathcal{B}_{x_0}}$  is a net in the compact set  $f(X) \cap \text{Fr}(V)$ . Then there exists a subnet  $\{f(x_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  which is convergent to some point  $y_0$ ; of course,  $y_0 \neq f(x_0)$ .

The net  $\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is a subnet of the net  $\{x_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{B}_{x_0}}$ , so it is also convergent to the point  $x_0$ . Hence,  $y_0 \in C(f, x_0)$ . Since  $f(X)$  is compact, then there exists a point  $x_1$  in  $X$  such that  $f(x_1) = y_0$ .

Therefore,

$$x_0 \in T(f, f(x_1)) \quad \text{and} \quad x_1 \neq x_0,$$

which contradicts to condition (4). □

**Corollary 6** *Let  $X$  be a locally connected topological space and  $Y$  be a locally compact topological space. If a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is strongly connected,  $f(X)$  is a closed subset of  $Y$  and fulfils condition (4), then  $f$  is a continuous function.*

Condition (4) is due to P. Long (see [8]).

The next theorem completes the previous one.

**Theorem 10** *If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous and injective function, then it fulfils condition (4).*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that the function  $f$  does not fulfil condition (4). Then there exist two points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in  $X$  such that

$$x_1 \neq x_2 \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 \in T(f, f(x_1)).$$

This means that there exists a net  $\{x_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$  which is convergent to  $x_2$  and the net  $\{f(x_\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$  is convergent to  $f(x_1)$ . In such a way we obtained a contradiction to the assumptions of the theorem.  $\square$

## References

- [1] R. Engelking. *General Topology*. PWN, Warszawa 1977.
- [2] D. Gillespie. A property of continuity. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **28**, 245–250, 1922.
- [3] T.R. Hamlett, P.E. Long. Inverse cluster sets. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **53** (2), 470–476, 1975.
- [4] J.M. Jędrzejewski. On limit numbers of real functions. *Fund. Math.* **83** 269–281, 1973.
- [5] J.M. Jędrzejewski. On limit values of connected functions. *Sci. Issues Jan Długosz Univ. Częstochowa, Mathematics*, **XIV**, 2009. (To appear).
- [6] J.M. Jędrzejewski. On different kinds of connectivity of functions, *Sci. Issues Catholic Univ. Ružomberok, Mathematica*, **II**, 2008. (To appear).
- [7] J.L. Kelley. *General Topology*. Springer, New York - Heidelberg - Berlin 1955.
- [8] P.E. Long. Connected mappings. *Duke Math. J.* **35** (4), 677-682, 1968.